Coherent State Path Integral Quantization of Quantum Field Theory

8.1 Coherent states and path integral quantization.

8.1.1 Coherent States

Let us consider a Hilbert space spanned by a complete set of harmonic oscillator states \( \{ |n \rangle \} \), with \( n = 0, \ldots, \infty \). Let \( \hat{a}^\dagger \) and \( \hat{a} \) be a pair of creation and annihilation operators acting on that Hilbert space, and satisfying the commutation relations

\[
[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1, \quad [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 0
\]  

(8.1)

These operators generate the harmonic oscillators states \( \{ |n \rangle \} \) in the usual way,

\[
|n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n |0 \rangle, \quad \hat{a}|0 \rangle = 0
\]  

(8.2)

where \( |0 \rangle \) is the vacuum state of the oscillator.

Let us denote by \( |z \rangle \) the coherent state

\[
|z \rangle = e^{z\hat{a}^\dagger} |0 \rangle, \quad \langle z | = \langle 0 | e^{\bar{z}\hat{a}}
\]  

(8.3)

where \( z \) is an arbitrary complex number and \( \bar{z} \) is the complex conjugate. The coherent state \( |z \rangle \) has the defining property of being a wave packet with optimal spread, i.e., the Heisenberg uncertainty inequality is an equality for these coherent states.

How does \( \hat{a} \) act on the coherent state \( |z \rangle \)?

\[
\hat{a}|z \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \hat{a} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n |0 \rangle
\]  

(8.4)

Since

\[
[\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n] = n (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1}
\]  

(8.5)
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we get

\[ \hat{a} |z\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \left( (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \right) \hat{a} |0\rangle \]  

(8.6)

Thus, we find

\[ \hat{a} |z\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} n \left( (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1} \right) |0\rangle \equiv z |z\rangle \]  

(8.7)

Therefore $|z\rangle$ is a right eigenvector of $\hat{a}$ and $z$ is the (right) eigenvalue.

Likewise we get

\[ \hat{a}^\dagger |z\rangle = \hat{a}^\dagger \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \left( (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1} \right) |0\rangle \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \left( (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n+1} \right) |0\rangle \]

\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left( (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \right) |0\rangle \]  

(8.8)

Thus,

\[ \hat{a}^\dagger |z\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} |z\rangle \]  

(8.9)

Another quantity of interest is the overlap of two coherent states, $\langle z|z'\rangle$,

\[ \langle z|z'\rangle = \langle 0| e^{\bar{z} \hat{a}} e^{\bar{z}' \hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle \]  

(8.10)

We will calculate this matrix element using the Baker-Hausdorff formulas

\[ e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right]} = e^{\left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right]} e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} \]  

(8.11)

which holds provided the commutator $\left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right]$ is a c-number, i.e., it is proportional to the identity operator. Since $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$, we find

\[ \langle z|z'\rangle = e^{\bar{z} z'} \langle 0| e^{\bar{z}' \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{\bar{z} \hat{a}} |0\rangle \]  

(8.12)

But

\[ e^{\bar{z} \hat{a}} |0\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad \langle 0| e^{\bar{z}' \hat{a}^\dagger} = \langle 0| \]  

(8.13)
Hence we get
\[ \langle z | z' \rangle = e^{\bar{z} z'} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.14)

An arbitrary state \(|\psi\rangle\) of this Hilbert space can be expanded in the harmonic oscillator basis states \(\{|n\rangle\},\)
\[ |\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n}{n!} \left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n |0\rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (8.15)

The projection of the state \(|\psi\rangle\) onto the coherent state \(|z\rangle\) is
\[ \langle z | \psi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n}{n!} \langle z | \left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n |0\rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (8.16)

Since
\[ \langle z | \hat{a}^\dagger = \bar{z} \langle z | \] \hspace{1cm} (8.17)
we find
\[ \langle z | \psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n}{n!} \bar{z}^n \equiv \psi(\bar{z}) \] \hspace{1cm} (8.18)

Therefore the projection of \(|\psi\rangle\) onto \(|z\rangle\) is the anti-holomorphic (i.e., anti-analytic) function \(\psi(\bar{z})\). In other words, in this representation, the space of states \(\{|\psi\rangle\}\) are in one-to-one correspondence with the space of anti-analytic functions.

In summary, the coherent states \(\{|z\rangle\}\) satisfy the following properties
\[ \hat{a}|z\rangle = z|z\rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (8.19)
\[ \hat{a}^\dagger|z\rangle = \bar{z}|z\rangle \]
\[ \langle z | \psi = \psi(\bar{z}) \] \hspace{1cm} \[ \langle \psi | z \rangle = \bar{\psi}(z) \]

Next we will prove the resolution of identity
\[ \hat{I} = \int \frac{dz d\bar{z}}{2\pi i} e^{-z\bar{z}} |z\rangle \langle z | \] \hspace{1cm} (8.20)

Let \(|\psi\rangle\) and \(|\phi\rangle\) be two arbitrary states
\[ |\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle, \quad |n\rangle |\phi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \]
such that their inner product is
\[ \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n \psi_n}{n!} \] \hspace{1cm} (8.21)
8.1 Coherent states and path integral quantization.

Let us compute the matrix element of the operator $\hat{I}$ given in Eq. (8.20),

$$\langle \phi | \hat{I} | \psi \rangle = \sum_{m,n} \frac{\hat{\phi}_m \psi_n}{n!} \langle n | \hat{I} | m \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.22)

Thus we need to find

$$\langle n | \hat{I} | m \rangle = \int \frac{dz \bar{z}}{2\pi i} e^{-|z|^2} \langle n | z \rangle \langle z | m \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.23)

Recall that the integration measure is defined to be given by

$$\frac{dz \bar{z}}{2\pi i} = \frac{d\text{Re}z d\text{Im}z}{\pi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.24)

where

$$\langle n | z \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle 0 | (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n | z \rangle = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle 0 | z \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.25)

and

$$\langle z | m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \langle z | (\hat{a})^m | 0 \rangle = \frac{\bar{z}^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \langle z | 0 \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.26)

Now, since $|\langle 0 | z \rangle|^2 = 1$, we get

$$\langle n | \hat{I} | m \rangle = \int \frac{dz \bar{z}}{2\pi i} e^{-|z|^2} \bar{z}^n z^m = \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \frac{e^{-\rho^2}}{\sqrt{n!m!}} \rho^{n+m} e^{i(n-m)\varphi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.27)

Thus,

$$\langle n | \hat{I} | m \rangle = \frac{\delta_{n,m}}{n!} \int_0^\infty dx x^n e^{-x} = \langle n | m \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.28)

Hence, we have found that

$$\langle \phi | \hat{I} | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.29)

for any pair of states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$. Therefore $\hat{I}$ is the identity operator in this Hilbert space. We conclude that the set of coherent states $\{ |z\rangle \}$ is an over-complete set of states.

Furthermore, since

$$\langle z | (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n (\hat{a})^m | z' \rangle = \bar{z}^n z'^m \langle z | z' \rangle = \bar{z}^n z'^m e^{\bar{z}z'}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.30)

we conclude that the matrix elements in generic coherent states $|z\rangle$ and $|z'\rangle$ of any arbitrary normal ordered operator of the form

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{n,m} A_{n,m} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n (\hat{a})^m$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.31)
are equal to
\[ \langle z|\hat{A}|z' \rangle = \left( \sum_{n,m} A_{n,m} \bar{z}^n z'^m \right) e^{\bar{z}z'} \] (8.32)

Therefore, if \( \hat{A}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger) \) is an arbitrary normal ordered operator (relative to the state \( |0\rangle \)), its matrix elements are given by
\[ \langle z|\hat{A}(\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger)|z' \rangle = A(\bar{z}, z') e^{\bar{z}z'} \] (8.33)
where \( A(\bar{z}, z') \) is a function of two complex variables \( \bar{z} \) and \( z' \) obtained from \( \hat{A} \) by the formal replacement
\[ \hat{a} \leftrightarrow z', \quad \hat{a}^\dagger \leftrightarrow \bar{z} \] (8.34)

For example, the matrix elements of the number operator \( \hat{N} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \), which measures the number of excitations, is
\[ \langle z|\hat{N}|z' \rangle = \langle z|\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}|z' \rangle = \bar{z}z' e^{\bar{z}z'} \] (8.35)

### 8.1.2 Path Integrals and Coherent States

We will want to compute the matrix elements of the evolution operator \( \mathcal{U} \),
\[ \mathcal{U} = e^{-i T \hbar \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a})} \] (8.36)
where \( \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \) is a normal ordered operator and \( T = t_f - t_i \) is the total time lapse. Thus, if \( |i\rangle \) and \( |f\rangle \) denote two arbitrary initial and final states, we can write the matrix element of \( \mathcal{U} \) as
\[ \langle f|e^{-i T \hbar \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a})}|i\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0,N \to \infty} \langle f| \left( 1 - i \epsilon \hbar \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \right)^N |i\rangle \] (8.37)

However now, instead of inserting a complete set of states at each intermediate time \( t_j \) (with \( j = 1, \ldots, N \)), we will insert an over-complete set of coherent states \( \{|z_j\rangle \} \) at each time \( t_j \) through the insertion of the resolution
of the identity,

\[ \langle f \vert \left( 1 - i \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \right)^N \vert i \rangle = \]

\[ = \int \left( \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dz_j d\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \right) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N} |z_j|^2} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \langle z_{k+1} \vert \left( 1 - i \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \right) \langle z_k \rangle \]

\[ \times \langle f \vert \left( 1 - i \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \right) \vert z_N \rangle \langle z_1 \vert \left( 1 - i \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \right) \vert z_i \rangle \]

(8.38)

In the limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \) these matrix elements become

\[ \langle z_{k+1} \vert \left( 1 - i \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \right) \vert z_k \rangle = \langle z_{k+1} \vert z_k \rangle - i \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \langle z_{k+1} \vert \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}) \vert z_k \rangle \]

\[ = \langle z_{k+1} \vert z_k \rangle \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\bar{z}_{k+1}, z_k) \right] \]

(8.39)

where \( \hat{H}(\bar{z}_{k+1}, z_k) \) is a function obtained from the normal ordered Hamiltonian by performing the substitutions \( \hat{a}^\dagger \to \bar{z}_{k+1} \) and \( \hat{a} \to z_k \).

Hence, we can write the following expression for the matrix element of the evolution operator of the form

\[ \langle f \vert e^{-T \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a})} \vert i \rangle = \]

\[ = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0, N \to \infty} \int \left( \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{dz_j d\bar{z}_j}{2\pi i} \right) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N} |z_j|^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \bar{z}_{j+1} z_j \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\bar{z}_{k+1}, z_k) \right] \]

\[ \times \langle f \vert z_N \rangle \langle z_1 \vert \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \langle f \vert \hat{H} \vert z_N \rangle \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} \langle z_1 \vert \hat{H} \vert z_i \rangle \right] \]

(8.40)

By further expanding the initial and final states in coherent states

\[ \langle f \vert = \int \frac{dz_f d\bar{z}_f}{2\pi i} e^{-|z_f|^2} \psi_f(z_f) \langle z_f \vert \]

\[ \vert i \rangle = \int \frac{dz_i d\bar{z}_i}{2\pi i} e^{-|z_i|^2} \psi_i(z_i) \langle z_i \vert \]

(8.41)
we find the result

$$\langle f | e^{-i \frac{T}{\hbar} \hat{H}(\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a})} | i \rangle = \int D\hat{z} D\bar{\hat{z}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\hbar}{2i} (z \partial_t \bar{z} - \bar{z} \partial_t z) - H(z, \bar{z}) \right]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_i f}} \bar{\psi}_f(z_f) \psi_i(\bar{z}_i)$$

(8.42)

This is the coherent-state form of the path integral. We can identify in this expression the Lagrangian $L$ as the quantity

$$L = \frac{\hbar}{2i} (z \partial_t \bar{z} - \bar{z} \partial_t z) - H(z, \bar{z})$$

(8.43)

Notice that the Lagrangian in the coherent-state representation is first order in time derivatives. Because of this feature we are not guaranteed that the paths are necessarily differentiable. This property leads to all kinds of subtleties that for the most part we will ignore in what follows.

### 8.1.3 Path integral for a gas of non-relativistic bosons at finite temperature.

The field theoretic description of a gas of (spinless) non-relativistic bosons is given in terms of the creation and annihilation field operators $\hat{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$ and $\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$, which satisfy the equal time commutation relations (in $d$ space dimensions)

$$\left[ \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{y}) \right] = \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

(8.44)

Relative to the empty state $|0\rangle$, i.e.,

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})|0\rangle = 0$$

(8.45)

the normal ordered Hamiltonian (in the Grand canonical Ensemble) is

$$\hat{H} = \int d^d x \hat{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu + V(\mathbf{x}) \right] \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \int d^d y \hat{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{y}) U(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{y}) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$$

(8.46)

where $m$ is the mass of the bosons, $\mu$ is the chemical potential, $V(\mathbf{x})$ is an external potential and $U(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ is the interaction potential between pairs of bosons.

Following our discussion of the coherent state path integral we see that it is immediate to write down a path integral for a thermodynamically large
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system of bosons. The boson coherent states are now labelled by a complex field \( \phi(x) \) and its complex conjugate \( \bar{\phi}(x) \).

\[
|\{\phi(x)\}\rangle = e^{\int d\mathbf{x} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})} |0\rangle \tag{8.47}
\]

which has the coherent state property of being a right eigenstate of the field operator \( \hat{\phi}(x) \),

\[
\hat{\phi}(x)|\{\phi\}\rangle = \phi(x)|\{\phi\}\rangle \tag{8.48}
\]
as well as obeying the resolution of the identity in this space of states

\[
\mathcal{I} = \int D\phi \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\hbar}{i} \left[ \phi(\mathbf{x},t) \partial_t \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) - \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) \partial_t \phi(\mathbf{x},t) \right] - H[\phi, \bar{\phi}] \right] \right\} \]

\[
|\{\phi\}\rangle \langle \{\phi\}| \tag{8.49}
\]

The matrix element of the evolution operator of this system between an arbitrary initial state \( |i\rangle \) and an arbitrary final state \( |f\rangle \), separated by a time lapse \( T = t_f - t_i \) (not to be confused with the temperature!), now takes the form

\[
\langle f| e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} T} |i\rangle = \int D\phi \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\hbar}{i} \left[ \phi(\mathbf{x},t) \partial_t \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) - \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) \partial_t \phi(\mathbf{x},t) \right] - H[\phi, \bar{\phi}] \right] \right\} \]

\[
\times \bar{\Psi}_f(\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_f)) \Psi_i(\bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t_i)) e^{\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} (|\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_f)|^2 + |\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_i)|^2)} \tag{8.50}
\]

where the functional \( H[\phi, \bar{\phi}] \) is

\[
H[\phi, \bar{\phi}] = \int d^d x \bar{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu + V(\mathbf{x}) \right] \phi(\mathbf{x})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \int d^d y |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 |\phi(\mathbf{y})|^2 U(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \tag{8.51}
\]

It is also possible to write the action \( S \) in the less symmetric but simpler form (where \( dx \equiv dt dx \))

\[
S = \int dx \bar{\phi}(x) \left( i\hbar \partial_t + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \mu - V(x) \right) \phi(x)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int dx \int dy |\phi(x)|^2 |\phi(y)|^2 U(x - y) \tag{8.52}
\]
where \( U(x - y) = U(x - y)\delta(t_x - t_y) \).

Therefore the path integral for a system of non-relativistic bosons (with chemical potential \( \mu \)) has the same form as the path integral of the charged scalar field we discussed before except that the action is first order in time derivatives. The fact that the field is complex follows from the requirement that the number of bosons is a globally conserved quantity, which is why one is allowed to introduce a chemical potential, i.e. to use the Grand Canonical Ensemble in the first place.

This formulation is useful to study superfluid Helium and similar problems. Suppose for instance that we want to compute the partition function \( Z \) for this system of bosons at finite temperature \( T \),

\[
Z = \text{tre}^{-\beta \hat{H}}
\]

where \( \beta = 1/T \) (in units where \( k_B = 1 \)). The coherent-state path integral representation of the partition function is obtained by

1. restricting the initial and final states to be the same \( |i\rangle = |f\rangle \) and arbitrary
2. summing over all possible states
3. a Wick rotation to imaginary time \( t \to -i\tau \), with the time-span \( T \to -i\beta \hbar \) (i.e., Periodic Boundary Conditions in imaginary time)

The result is the (imaginary time) path integral

\[
Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\bar{\phi} e^{-S_E} \quad (8.54)
\]

where \( S_E \) is the Euclidean action

\[
S_E(\phi, \bar{\phi}) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int dx \bar{\phi} \left[ \hbar \partial_\tau \phi - \mu - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(x) \right] \phi \\
+ \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int dx \int dy \ U(x - y) |\phi(x)|^2 |\phi(y)|^2
\]

The fields \( \phi(x) = \phi(x, \tau) \) satisfy Periodic Boundary Conditions (PBC's) in imaginary time

\[
\phi(x, \tau) = \phi(x, \tau + \beta \hbar)
\]

This requirement suggests an expansion of the field \( \phi(x) \) in Fourier modes of the form

\[
\phi(x, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega_n \tau} \phi(\vec{x}, \omega_n)
\]
where the frequencies $\omega_n$ (the Matsubara frequencies) must be chosen so that $\phi$ obeys the required PBCs. We find

$$\omega_n = \frac{2\pi}{\beta \hbar} n = \frac{2\pi T}{\hbar} n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.58)

where $n$ is an arbitrary integer.

### 8.2 Fermion Coherent States

In this section we will develop a formalism for fermions which follows closely what we have done for bosons while accounting for the anti-commuting nature of fermionic operators, i.e. the Pauli Principle.

Let $\left\{c_i^\dagger\right\}$ be a set of fermion creation operators, with $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and $\left\{c_i\right\}$ the set of their $N$ adjoint operators, i.e. the associated annihilation operators. The number operator for the $i$-th fermion is $n_i = c_i^\dagger c_i$. Let us define the kets $|0_i\rangle$ and $|1_i\rangle$, which obey the obvious definitions:

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_i |0_i\rangle &= 0 & c_i^\dagger |0_i\rangle &= |1_i\rangle \\
    c_i^\dagger c_i |0_i\rangle &= 0 & c_i^\dagger c_i |1_i\rangle &= |1_i\rangle 
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.59)

For $N$ fermions the Hilbert space is spanned by the anti-symmetrized states $|n_1, \ldots, n_N\rangle$. Let

\[
|0\rangle \equiv |0_1, \ldots, 0_N\rangle 
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.60)

and

\[
|n_1, \ldots, n_N\rangle = (c_1^\dagger)^{n_1-1} \cdots (c_N^\dagger)^{n_N}|0\rangle 
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.61)

As we saw before, the wave function $\langle n_1, \ldots, n_N|\Psi\rangle$ is a Slater determinant.

### 8.2.1 Definition of Fermion Coherent States

We now define fermion coherent states. Let $\{\xi_i, \xi_i\}$, with $i = 1, \ldots, N$, be a set of $2N$ Grassmann variables (which are also known as the generators of a Grassmann algebra). The Grassmann variables, by definition, satisfy the following properties

\[
\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = \{\bar{\xi}_i, \bar{\xi}_j\} = \{\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_j\} = \{\bar{\xi}_i, \xi_j\} = \xi_i^2 = \bar{\xi}_i^2 = 0 
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.62)

We will also require that the Grassmann variables anti-commute with the fermion operators:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \{\xi_i, c_j\} &= \{\bar{\xi}_i, c_j^\dagger\} = \{\bar{\xi}_i, c_j\} = \{\xi_i, c_j^\dagger\} = 0 
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.63)
Let us define the fermion coherent states

\[ |\xi\rangle \equiv e^{-\xi c^\dagger}|0\rangle \quad (8.64) \]

\[ \langle \xi| \equiv \langle 0| e^{\bar{\xi}c} \quad (8.65) \]

As a consequence of these definitions we have:

\[ e^{-\xi c^\dagger} = 1 - \xi c^\dagger \quad (8.66) \]

Similarly, if \( \psi \) is a Grassmann variable, we have

\[ \langle \xi|\psi\rangle = \langle 0| e^{\bar{\xi}c} e^{-\psi c^\dagger}|0\rangle = 1 + \bar{\xi}\psi = e^{\bar{\xi}\psi} \quad (8.67) \]

For \( N \) fermions we have,

\[ |\xi\rangle \equiv |\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N\rangle = \Pi_{i=1}^{N} e^{-\xi_i c^\dagger_i}|0\rangle \equiv e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_i c^\dagger_i}|0\rangle \quad (8.68) \]

since the following commutator vanishes,

\[ [\xi_i c^\dagger_i, \xi_j c^\dagger_j] = 0 \quad (8.69) \]

### 8.2.2 Analytic functions of Grassmann variables

We will define \( \psi(\xi) \) to be an analytic function of the Grassmann variable if it has a power series expansion in \( \xi \),

\[ \psi(\xi) = \psi_0 + \psi_1 \xi + \psi_2 \xi^2 + \ldots \quad (8.70) \]

where \( \psi_n \in \mathbb{C} \). Since

\[ \xi^n = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 2 \quad (8.71) \]

then, all analytic functions of a Grassmann variable reduce to a first degree polynomial,

\[ \psi(\xi) \equiv \psi_0 + \psi_1 \xi \quad (8.72) \]

Similarly, we define complex conjugation by

\[ \bar{\psi}(\bar{\xi}) \equiv \bar{\psi}_0 + \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\xi} \quad (8.73) \]

where \( \bar{\psi}_0 \) and \( \bar{\psi}_1 \) are the complex conjugates of \( \psi_0 \) and \( \psi_1 \) respectively.

We can also define functions of two Grassmann variables \( \xi \) and \( \bar{\xi} \),

\[ A(\bar{\xi}, \xi) = a_0 + a_1 \xi + \bar{a}_1 \bar{\xi} + a_{12} \bar{\xi} \xi \quad (8.74) \]

where \( a_1, \bar{a}_1 \) and \( a_{12} \) are complex numbers; \( a_1 \) and \( \bar{a}_1 \) are not necessarily complex conjugates of each other.
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Differentiation over Grassmann variables

Since analytic functions of Grassmann variables have such a simple structure, differentiation is just as simple. Indeed, we define the derivative as the coefficient of the linear term

\[ \partial_{\xi} \psi(\xi) \equiv \psi_1 \] (8.75)

Likewise we also have

\[ \partial_{\bar{\xi}} \psi(\xi) \equiv \bar{\psi}_1 \] (8.76)

Clearly, using this rule we can write

\[ \partial_{\xi}(\xi \xi) = -\partial_{\bar{\xi}}(\xi \bar{\xi}) = -\xi \] (8.77)

A similar argument shows that

\[ \partial_{\xi} A(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = a_1 - a_{12} \bar{\xi} \] (8.78)
\[ \partial_{\bar{\xi}} A(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = \bar{a}_1 + a_{12} \xi \] (8.79)
\[ \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\bar{\xi}} A(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = -a_{12} = -\partial_{\bar{\xi}} \partial_{\xi} A(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \] (8.80)

from where we conclude that \( \partial_{\xi} \) and \( \partial_{\bar{\xi}} \) anti-commute,

\[ \{ \partial_{\xi}, \partial_{\bar{\xi}} \} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\bar{\xi}} = \partial_{\bar{\xi}} \partial_{\xi} = 0 \] (8.81)

Integration over Grassmann variables

The basic differentiation rule of Eq. (8.75) implies that

\[ 1 = \partial_{\xi} \xi \] (8.82)

which suggests the following definitions:

\[ \int d\xi \ 1 = 0 \] (8.83)
\[ \int d\xi \ \partial_{\xi} \xi = 0 \quad (\text{“exact differential”}) \] (8.84)
\[ \int d\xi \ \xi = 1 \] (8.85)

Analogous rules also apply for the conjugate variables \( \bar{\xi} \).

It is instructive to compare the differentiation and integration rules:

\[ \int d\xi \ 1 = 0 \leftrightarrow \partial_{\xi} 1 = 0 \]
\[ \int d\xi \ \xi = 1 \leftrightarrow \partial_{\xi} \xi = 1 \] (8.86)
Thus, for Grassmann variables differentiation and integration are exactly equivalent

\[ \partial_\xi \leftrightarrow \int d\xi \quad (8.87) \]

These rules imply that the integral of an analytic function \( f(\xi) \) is

\[ \int d\xi \ f(\xi) = \int d\xi \ (f_0 + f_1\xi) = f_1 \quad (8.88) \]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\int d\xi A(\xi, \xi) &= \int d\xi \ (a_0 + a_1\xi + \bar{a}_1\bar{\xi} + a_12\bar{\xi}\xi) = a_1 - a_12\bar{\xi} \\
\int d\bar{\xi} A(\xi, \xi) &= \int d\xi \ (a_0 + a_1\xi + \bar{a}_1\bar{\xi} + a_12\bar{\xi}\xi) = \bar{a}_1 + a_12\xi \\
\int d\xi d\bar{\xi} A(\xi, \xi) &= -\int d\xi d\bar{\xi} A(\bar{\xi}, \xi) = -a_{12} \\
\end{align*} \quad (8.89) \]

It is straightforward to show that with these definitions, the following expression is a consistent definition of a delta-function:

\[ \delta(\xi', \xi) = \int d\eta \ e^{-\eta(\xi - \xi')} \quad (8.90) \]

where \( \xi, \xi' \) and \( \eta \) are Grassmann variables.

Finally, given that we have a vector space of analytic functions we can define an inner product as follows:

\[ \langle f|g \rangle = \int d\xi d\bar{\xi} \ e^{-\bar{\xi}\xi} \bar{f}(\xi) \ g(\bar{\xi}) = \bar{f}_0 g_0 + \bar{f}_1 g_1 \quad (8.91) \]

as expected.

### 8.2.3 Properties of Fermion Coherent States

We defined above the fermion bra and ket coherent states

\[ |\{\xi_j\}\rangle = e^{\sum_j \xi_j c_j^\dagger} |0\rangle, \quad \langle\{\xi_j\}| = \langle0| e^{\sum_j \xi_j c_j} \quad (8.92) \]
After a little algebra, using the rules defined above, it is easy to see that the following identities hold:

\[ c_i |\{\xi_j\}\rangle = \xi_i |\{\xi_j\}\rangle \quad (8.93) \]

\[ c_i^\dagger |\{\xi_j\}\rangle = -\partial_{\xi_i} |\{\xi_j\}\rangle \quad (8.94) \]

\[ \langle \{\xi_j\} | c_i = \partial_{\xi_i} \langle \{\xi_j\} | \quad (8.95) \]

\[ \langle \{\xi_j\} | c_i^\dagger = \bar{\xi}_i \langle \{\xi_j\} | \quad (8.96) \]

The inner product of two coherent states \(|\{\xi_j\}\rangle\) and \(|\{\xi'_j\}\rangle\) is

\[ \langle \{\xi_j\}|\{\xi'_j\}\rangle = e^{\sum_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi'_j} \quad (8.97) \]

Similarly, we also have the Resolution of the Identity (which is easy to prove)

\[ I = \int (\Pi_{i=1}^N d\bar{\xi}_i d\xi_i) \ e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\xi}_i \xi_i} |\{\xi_i\}\rangle \langle \{\xi_i\}| \quad (8.98) \]

Let \(|\psi\rangle\) be some state. Then, we can use Eq. (8.98) to expand the state \(|\psi\rangle\) in fermion coherent states \(|\xi\rangle\),

\[ |\psi\rangle = \int (\Pi_{i=1}^N d\bar{\xi}_i d\xi_i) \ e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\xi}_i \xi_i} \psi(\xi) |\{\xi_i\}\rangle \quad (8.99) \]

where

\[ \psi(\bar{\xi}) \equiv \psi(\bar{\xi}_1, \ldots, \bar{\xi}_N) \quad (8.100) \]

We can use the rules derived above to compute the following matrix elements

\[ \langle \xi | c_j | \psi \rangle = \partial_{\bar{\xi}_j} \psi(\bar{\xi}) \quad (8.101) \]

\[ \langle \xi | c_j^\dagger | \psi \rangle = \bar{\xi}_j \psi(\bar{\xi}) \quad (8.102) \]

which is consistent with what we concluded above.

Let \(|0\rangle\) be the “empty state” (we will not call it the “vacuum” since it is not in the sector of the ground state). Let \( A \left( \{c_j^\dagger\}, \{c_j\} \right) \) be a normal ordered operator (with respect to the state \(|0\rangle\)). By using the formalism worked out above one can show without difficulty that its matrix elements in the coherent states \(|\xi\rangle\) and \(|\xi'\rangle\) are

\[ \langle \xi | A \left( \{c_j^\dagger\}, \{c_j\} \right) |\xi'\rangle = e^{\sum_i \bar{\xi}_i \xi'_i} A \left( \{\bar{\xi}_j\}, \{\xi'_j\} \right) \quad (8.103) \]
For example, the expectation value of the fermion number operator $\hat{N}$,

$$\hat{N} = \sum_j c_j^\dagger c_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.104)

in the coherent state $|\xi\rangle$ is

$$\frac{\langle \xi | \hat{N} | \xi \rangle}{\langle \xi | \xi \rangle} = \sum_j \bar{\xi}_j \xi_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.105)

### 8.2.4 Grassmann Gaussian Integrals

Let us consider a Gaussian integral over Grassmann variables of the form

$$Z[\bar{\zeta}, \zeta] = \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\bar{\xi}_i d\xi_i \right) e^{-\sum_{i,j} \bar{\xi}_i M_{ij} \xi_j + \bar{\xi}_i \zeta_i + \bar{\zeta}_i \xi_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.106)

where $\{\zeta_i\}$ and $\{\bar{\zeta}_i\}$ are a set of $2N$ Grassmann variables, and the matrix $M_{ij}$ is a complex Hermitian matrix. We will now show that

$$Z[\bar{\zeta}, \zeta] = (\det M) e^{\sum_{i,j} \bar{\zeta}_i (M^{-1})_{ij} \xi_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.107)

Before showing that Eq. (8.107) is correct let us make a few observations:

1. Eq. (8.107) looks like the familiar expression for Gaussian integrals for bosons except that instead of a factor of $(\det M)^{-1/2}$ we get a factor of $\det M$. This is the main effect of the statistics!.

2. If we had considered a system of $N$ Grassmann variables (instead of $2N$) we would have obtained instead a factor of

$$\sqrt{\det M} = \text{Pf}(M)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.108)

where $M$ would now be an $N \times N$ real anti-symmetric matrix, and $\text{Pf}(M)$ denotes the Pfaffian of the matrix $M$.

To prove that Eq. (8.107) is correct we will consider only the case $\zeta_i = \bar{\zeta}_i = 0$, since the contribution from these sources is identical to the bosonic case. Using the Grassmann identities we can write the exponential factor as

$$-\sum_{i,j} \bar{\xi}_i M_{ij} \xi_j = \prod_{ij} (1 - \bar{\xi}_i M_{ij} \xi_j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.109)
The integral that we need to do is
\[ Z[0,0] = \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\bar{\xi}_i \xi_i \right) \prod_{ij} \left( 1 - \bar{\xi}_i M_{ij} \xi_j \right) \]
(8.110)

From the integration rules, we can easily see that the only non-vanishing terms in this expression are those that have the just one \( \xi_i \) and one \( \bar{\xi}_i \) (for each \( i \)). Hence we can write
\[ Z[0,0] = (-1)^N \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\bar{\xi}_i \, d\xi_i \right) \bar{\xi}_1 M_{12} \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_2 M_{23} \xi_3 \ldots + \text{permutations} \]
\[ = (-1)^N M_{12} M_{23} M_{34} \ldots \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\bar{\xi}_i \, d\xi_i \right) \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_2 \xi_3 \bar{\xi}_3 \ldots \bar{\xi}_N \xi_N \]
\[ + \text{permutations} \]
\[ = (-1)^{2N} M_{12} M_{23} M_{34} \ldots M_{N-1,N} + \text{permutations} \]  
(8.111)

What is the contribution of the terms labeled “permutations”? It is easy to see that if we permute any pair of labels, say 2 and 3, we will get a contribution of the form
\[ (-1)^{2N} (-1) M_{13} M_{32} M_{24} \ldots \]  
(8.112)

Hence we conclude that the Gaussian Grassmann integral is just the determinant of the matrix \( M \),
\[ Z[0,0] = \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\bar{\xi}_i \, d\xi_i \right) e^{-\sum_{ij} \bar{\xi}_i M_{ij} \xi_j} = \det M \]  
(8.113)

Alternatively, we can diagonalize the quadratic form and notice that the Jacobian is “upside-down” compared with the result we found for bosons.

### 8.2.5 Grassmann Path Integrals for Fermions

We are now ready to give a prescription for the construction of a fermion path integral in a general system. Let \( H \) the a normal-ordered Hamiltonian, with respect to some reference state \( |0\rangle \), of a system of fermions. Let \( |\Psi_i\rangle \) be the ket at the initial time \( t_i \) and \( |\Psi_f\rangle \) be the final state at time \( t_f \). The matrix element of the evolution operator can be written as a Grassmann
Path Integral

\[ \langle \Psi_f, t_f | \Psi_i, t_i \rangle = \langle \Psi_f | e^{-i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} H(t_f - t_i)} | \Psi_i \rangle \]

\[ \equiv \int D\bar{\psi} D\psi e^{i \hbar S(\bar{\psi}, \psi)} \times \text{projection operators} \]

(8.114)

where we have not written down the explicit form of the projection operators onto the initial and final states. The action \( S(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \) is

\[ S(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ i\hbar \bar{\psi} \partial_t \psi - H(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \right] \]

(8.115)

This expression of the fermion path integral holds for any theory of fermions, relativistic or not. Notice that it has the same form as the bosonic path integral. The only change is that for fermions the determinant appears in the numerator while for bosons it is in the denominator!

### 8.3 Path integral quantization of Dirac fermions.

We will now apply the methods we just developed to the case of the Dirac theory.

Let us define the Dirac field \( \psi_\alpha(x) \), with \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, 4 \). It satisfies the Dirac Equation as an equation of motion,

\[ (i\partial - m) \psi = 0 \]

(8.116)

where \( \psi \) is a 4-spinor and \( \partial = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \). Recall that the Dirac \( \gamma \)-matrices satisfy the algebra

\[ \{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \]

(8.117)

where \( g^{\mu\nu} \) is the Minkowski space metric tensor (in the Bjorken-Drell form).

We saw before that in the quantum field theory description of the Dirac theory, \( \psi \) is an operator acting on the Fock space of (fermionic) states. We also saw that the Dirac equation can be regarded as the classical equation of motion of the Lagrangian density

\[ \mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left( i\partial - m \right) \psi \]

(8.118)

where \( \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \). We also noted that the momentum canonically conjugate
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to the field $\psi$ is $i\psi^\dagger$, from where the standard fermionic equal time anticommutation relations follow

$$\left\{ \psi_\alpha(x, x_0), \psi_\beta^\dagger(y, x_0) \right\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(x - y)$$

(8.119)

The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ for a Dirac fermion coupled to sources $\eta_\alpha$ and $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$ is

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left( \frac{i}{\partial} - m \right) \psi + \bar{\psi}\eta + \bar{\eta}\psi$$

(8.120)

and the path-integral is found to be

$$Z[\bar{\eta}, \eta] = \frac{1}{\langle 0|0 \rangle} \langle 0|T e^{i \int d^4x (\bar{\psi}\eta + \bar{\eta}\psi)}|0 \rangle$$

$$\equiv \int D\bar{\psi} D\psi e^{iS}$$

(8.121)

where $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$.

From this result it follows that the Dirac propagator is

$$iS_{\alpha\beta}(x - y) = \langle 0|T \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y)|0 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{(-i)^2}{Z[0, 0]} \delta^2 Z[\bar{\eta}, \eta] \bigg|_{\eta = \bar{\eta} = 0}$$

$$= \langle x, \alpha| \frac{1}{i\partial - m} |y, \beta \rangle$$

(8.122)

Similarly, the partition function for a theory of free Dirac fermions is

$$Z_{\text{Dirac}} = \text{Det} \left( \frac{i}{\partial} - m \right)$$

(8.123)

In contrast, in the case of a free scalar field we found

$$Z_{\text{scalar}} = \left[ \text{Det} \left( \partial^2 + m^2 \right) \right]^{-1/2}$$

(8.124)

Therefore for the case of the Dirac field the partition function is a determinant whereas for the scalar field is the inverse of a determinant (actually of is square root). The fact that one result is the inverse of the other is a consequence that Dirac fields are quantized as fermions whereas scalar fields are quantized as bosons. This is a general result for Fermi and Bose fields regardless of whether they are relativistic or not. Moreover, from this result it follows that the vacuum (ground state) energy of a Dirac field is negative whereas the vacuum energy for a scalar field is positive. We will see that in interacting field theories the result of Eq.(8.123) leads to the Feynman diagram rule that each fermion loop carries a minus sign which reflects the
Fermi-Dirac statistics (and hence it holds even in the absence of relativistic invariance).

We now note that due to the charge-conjugation symmetry of the Dirac theory the spectrum of the Dirac operator is symmetric (i.e., for every positive eigenvalue there is a negative eigenvalue of equal magnitude). More formally, since

\[ \gamma_5 \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) \gamma_5 = \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) \]

and \( \gamma_5^2 = I \) (the \( 4 \times 4 \) identity matrix), it follows that

\[ \text{Det} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) = \text{Det} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + m \right) \]

Hence

\[ \text{Det} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) = \left[ \text{Det} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) \text{Det} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + m \right) \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \text{Det} \left( \partial^2 + m^2 \right) \right]^2 \]

where we used that the “square” of the Dirac operator is the Klein-Gordon operator multiplied by the \( 4 \times 4 \) identity matrix (which is why the exponent of the r.h.s of the equation above is 2 = \( 4 \times 2 \)). It is easy to see that this result implies that the vacuum energy for the Dirac fermion \( E_{\text{Dirac}}^0 \) and the vacuum energy of a scalar field \( E_{\text{scalar}}^0 \) (with the same mass \( m \)) are related by

\[ E_{\text{Dirac}}^0 = -4E_{\text{scalar}}^0 \]

Here we have ignored the fact that both the l.h.s. and the r.h.s. of this equation are divergent (as we saw before). However since they have the same divergence (or what is the same is they are regularized in the same way) the comparison is meaningful.

On the other hand, if instead of Dirac fermions (which are charged fields), we consider Majorana fermions (which are charge neutral) we would have obtained instead the results

\[ Z_{\text{Majorana}} = \text{Pf} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) = \left[ \text{Det} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - m \right) \right]^{1/2} \]

where Pf is the Pfaffian or, what is the same, the square root of the determinant. Thus the vacuum energy of a Majorana fermion is half the vacuum energy of a Dirac fermion.

Finally, since a massless Dirac fermion is equivalent to two Weyl fermions (one with each chirality), it follows that the vacuum energy of a Majorana Weyl fermion is equal and opposite to the vacuum energy of a scalar field. Moreover this relation holds for all the states of their spectra which are
identical. This observation is the origin of the concept of supersymmetry in which the fermionic states and the bosonic states are precisely matched. As a result, the vacuum energy of a supersymmetric theory is zero.

8.4 Functional determinants.

We will now discuss more generally how to compute functional determinants. We have discussed before how to do that for path-integrals with a few degrees of freedom (i.e. in Quantum Mechanics). We will now generalize these ideas to Quantum Field Theory. We will begin by discussing some simple determinants that show up in systems of fermions and bosons at finite temperature and density.

8.4.1 Functional determinants for Coherent States

Consider a system of fermions (or bosons) with one-body Hamiltonian \( \hat{h} \) at non-zero temperature \( T \) and chemical potential \( \mu \). The partition function

\[
Z = \text{tr} \ e^{-\beta \left( \hat{H} - \mu \hat{N} \right)}
\]  
(8.130)

where \( \beta = 1/k_B T \),

\[
\hat{H} = \int dx \ \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) \hat{h} \hat{\psi}(x)
\]  
(8.131)

and

\[
\hat{N} = \int dx \ \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\psi}(x)
\]  
(8.132)

is the number operator. Here \( x \) denotes both spacial and internal (spin) labels.

The functional (or path) integral expression for the partition function is

\[
Z = \int \mathcal{D}\psi^* \mathcal{D}\psi \ e^{\int d\tau \ \psi^* \left( i\hbar \partial_\tau + \hat{h} + \mu \right) \psi}
\]  
(8.133)

In imaginary time we set \( t \to -i\tau \), with \( 0 \leq \tau \leq \beta \hbar \).

The fields \( \psi(\tau) \) can represent either be bosons, in which case they are just complex functions of \( x \) and \( \tau \), or fermions, in which case they are complex Grassmann functions of \( x \) and \( \tau \). The only subtlety resides in the choice of boundary conditions.
1. **Bosons:**

Since the partition function is a trace, in this case the fields (be complex or real) must obey the usual *periodic boundary conditions* in imaginary time, i.e.,

\[ \psi(\tau) = \psi(\tau + \beta \hbar) \]  

(8.134)

2. **Fermions:**

In the case of fermions the fields are complex Grassmann variables. However, if we want to compute a trace it turns out that, due to the anticommutation rules, it is necessary to require the fields to obey *antiperiodic boundary conditions*, i.e.

\[ \psi(\tau) = -\psi(\tau + \beta \hbar) \]  

(8.135)

Let \( \{ |\lambda\rangle \} \) be a complete set of eigenstates of the one-body Hamiltonian \( \hat{h} \), \( \{ \varepsilon_\lambda \} \) be its eigenvalue spectrum with \( \lambda \) a spectral parameter (i.e. a suitable set of quantum numbers spanning the spectrum of \( \hat{h} \)), and \( \{ \phi_\lambda(\tau) \} \) be the associated complete set of eigenfunctions. We now expand the field configurations in the basis of eigenfunctions of \( \hat{h} \),

\[ \psi(\tau) = \sum_\lambda \psi_\lambda \phi_\lambda(\tau) \]  

(8.136)

The eigenfunctions of \( \hat{h} \) are complete and orthonormal.

Thus if we expand the fields, the path-integral of Eq. (8.133) becomes (with \( \hbar = 1 \))

\[ Z = \int \left( \prod_\lambda d\psi_\lambda^* d\psi_\lambda \right) e^{\int d\tau \sum_\lambda \psi_\lambda^* (-\partial_\tau - \varepsilon_\lambda + \mu) \psi_\lambda} \]  

(8.137)

which becomes (after absorbing all uninteresting constant factors in the integration measure)

\[ Z = \prod_\lambda \left[ \text{Det} \left( -\partial_\tau - \varepsilon_\lambda + \mu \right) \right]^\sigma \]  

(8.138)

where \( \sigma = +1 \) is the result for *fermions* and \( \sigma = -1 \) for *bosons*.

Let \( \psi_\lambda^\lambda_n(\tau) \) be the solution of eigenvector equation

\[ (-\partial_\tau - \varepsilon_\lambda + \mu) \psi_\lambda^\lambda_n(\tau) = \alpha_n \psi_\lambda^\lambda_n(\tau) \]  

(8.139)

where \( \alpha_n \) is the (generally complex) eigenvalue. The eigenfunctions \( \psi_\lambda^\lambda_n(\tau) \)
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will be required to satisfy either periodic or anti-periodic boundary conditions,

\[ \psi_n^\lambda(\tau) = -\sigma \psi_n^\lambda(\tau + \beta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.140)

where, once again, \( \sigma = \pm 1 \).

The eigenvalue condition, Eq. (8.139) is solved by

\[ \psi_n(\tau) = \psi_n e^{i\alpha_n \tau} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.141)

provided \( \alpha_n \) satisfies

\[ \alpha_n = -i\omega_n + \mu - \varepsilon_\lambda \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.142)

where the Matsubara frequencies are given by (with \( k_B = 1 \))

\[ \omega_n = \begin{cases} 2\pi T \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right), & \text{for fermions} \\ 2\pi T n, & \text{for bosons} \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.143)

Let us consider now the function \( \varphi_\alpha(\tau) \) which is an eigenfunction of \(-\partial_\tau - \varepsilon_\lambda + \mu,\)

\[ (-\partial_\tau - \varepsilon_\lambda + \mu) \varphi_\alpha(\tau) = \alpha \varphi_\alpha(\tau) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.144)

which satisfies only an initial condition for \( \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(0) \), such as

\[ \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(0) = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.145)

Notice that since the operator is linear in \( \partial_\tau \) we cannot impose additional conditions on the derivative of \( \varphi_\alpha \).

The solution of

\[ \partial_\tau \ln \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(\tau) = \mu - \varepsilon - \alpha \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.146)

is

\[ \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(\tau) = \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(0) e^{(\mu - \varepsilon_\lambda - \alpha) \tau} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.147)

After imposing the initial condition of Eq. (8.145), we find

\[ \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(\tau) = e^{- (\alpha + \varepsilon_\lambda - \mu) \tau} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.148)

But, although this function \( \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(\tau) \) satisfies all the requirements, it does not have the same zeros as the determinant \( \text{Det}(-\partial_\tau + \mu - \varepsilon_\lambda - \alpha) \). However, the function

\[ \sigma F_\alpha^\lambda(\tau) = 1 + \sigma \varphi_\alpha^\lambda(\tau) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.149)

does satisfy all the properties. Indeed,

\[ \sigma F_\alpha^\lambda(\beta) = 1 + \sigma e^{- (\alpha + \varepsilon_\lambda - \mu) \beta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.150)
which vanishes for $\alpha = \alpha_n$. Then, a version of Coleman’s argument tells us that

$$\frac{\det (-\partial_\tau + \mu - \varepsilon_\lambda - \alpha)}{\sigma F_0^\lambda(\beta)} = \text{constant}$$

(8.151)

where the right hand side is a constant in the sense that it does not depend on the choice of the eigenvalues $\{\varepsilon_\lambda\}$.

Hence,

$$\det (-\partial_\tau + \mu - \varepsilon_\lambda) = \text{const. } \sigma F_0^\lambda(\beta)$$

(8.152)

The partition function is

$$Z = e^{-\beta F} = \prod_\lambda [\det (-\partial_\tau + \mu - \varepsilon_\lambda)]^\sigma$$

(8.153)

where $F$ is the free energy, which we find it is given by

$$F = -\sigma T \sum_\lambda \ln \det (-\partial_\tau + \mu - \varepsilon_\lambda - \alpha)$$

$$= -\sigma T \sum_\lambda \ln \left(1 + \sigma e^{\beta(\mu - \varepsilon_\lambda)} \right) + f(\beta\mu)$$

(8.154)

which is the correct result for non-interacting fermions and bosons. Here, we have set

$$f(\beta\mu) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{fermions} \\
-2TN \ln \left(1 - e^{\beta\mu} \right) & \text{bosons}
\end{cases}$$

(8.155)

where $N$ is the number of states in the spectrum $\{\lambda\}$.

In some cases the spectrum has the symmetry $\varepsilon_\lambda = -\varepsilon_{-\lambda}$, e. g. the Dirac theory whose spectrum is $\varepsilon_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$, and these expressions can be simplified further,

$$\prod_\lambda \det (-\partial_t + i\varepsilon_\lambda) = \prod_{\lambda > 0} [\det (-\partial_t + i\varepsilon_\lambda) \det (-\partial_t - i\varepsilon_\lambda)]$$

(8.156)

$$= \prod_{\lambda > 0} \det (\partial_t^2 + \varepsilon_\lambda^2)$$

after a Wick rotation $\rightarrow \prod_{\lambda > 0} \det (-\partial_\tau^2 + \varepsilon_\lambda^2)$

(8.157)

This last expression we have encountered before. The result is

$$\prod_{\lambda > 0} \det (-\partial_\tau^2 + \varepsilon_\lambda^2) = \text{const. } \psi_0(\beta)$$

(8.158)
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where $\psi_0(\tau)$ is the solution of the differential equation

$$(-\partial^2_\tau + \varepsilon_\lambda^2) \psi_0(\tau) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.159)

which satisfies the initial conditions

$$\psi_0(0) = 0 \quad \partial_\tau \psi_0(0) = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.160)

The solution is

$$\psi_0(\tau) = \frac{\sinh(|\varepsilon_\lambda| \tau)}{|\varepsilon_\lambda|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.161)

Hence

$$\psi_0(\beta) = \frac{\sinh(|\varepsilon_\lambda| \beta)}{|\varepsilon_\lambda|} \quad \rightarrow \quad e^{\varepsilon_\lambda|\beta|} \frac{1}{2|\varepsilon_\lambda|} \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.162)

In particular, since

$$\prod_{\lambda > 0} e^{\varepsilon_\lambda|\beta|} \frac{1}{2|\varepsilon_\lambda|} = e^{\beta \sum_{\lambda > 0} |\varepsilon_\lambda|} = e^{-\beta \sum_{\lambda < 0} \varepsilon_\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.163)

we get that the ground state energy $E_G$ is the sum of the single particle energies of the occupied (negative energy) states:

$$E_G = \sum_{\lambda < 0} \varepsilon_\lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.164)

8.5 Summary of the general strategy

We will now summarize the general strategy to compute path integrals of any type.

We begin with the path integral for the vacuum persistence amplitude (or “partition function") which we write in general as

$$Z \int \mathcal{D} (\text{fields}) e^{i \int d^D x \mathcal{L}(\text{fields})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.165)

and consider a field configuration $\phi_c(x)$ which is a solution of the Classical Equation of Motion

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} - \partial^\mu \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^{\mu}} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.166)
we then expand about this classical solution
\[ \phi(x) = \phi_c(x) + \varphi(x) \tag{8.167} \]
the action \( S[\phi] = \int d^Dx \; L[\phi] \)
\[ S[\phi_c + \varphi] = S[\phi_c] + \int d^Dx \delta \phi(x) \left[ \frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} - \partial^\mu \frac{\delta L}{\partial (\partial^\mu \phi)} \right] \phi_c 
+ \int d^Dx \int d^Dy \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 L}{\delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y)} \phi(x) \phi(y) + O(\varphi^3) \tag{8.168} \]
Thus, for example, for the case of a relativistic scalar field with Lagrangian density
\[ L = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \tag{8.169} \]
we write
\[ L = L[\phi_c] + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x) \left[ -\partial^2 - V''[\phi_c] \right] \varphi(x) + \ldots \tag{8.170} \]
The partition function now takes the form
\[ Z = \int D\phi \; e^{iS[\phi]} = \text{const.} \left[ \det \left( -\partial^2 - V''[\phi_c] \right) \right]^{-1/2} e^{iS[\phi_c]} \left[ 1 + \ldots \right] \tag{8.171} \]
For a theory of fermions the main difference, which reflects the anticommutation relations of the fermion operators, is that the determinant factor gets flipped around
\[ [\det \text{(differential operator)}]^{-n/2} \rightarrow [\det \text{(differential operator)}]^{n/2} \tag{8.172} \]
where \( n \) is the number of real field components, and that the D’Alambertian \( \partial^2 \) is replaced by the Dirac operator. Similar caveats apply to the non-relativistics field theories.
Thus, if we wished to compute the ground state energy \( E_G \), we would use the fact that
\[ S[\phi_c] = -TE_0 \tag{8.173} \]
where \( T \) is the total time-span and \( E_0 \) is the ground state energy for the free field, and that the partition function for large \( T \) becomes
\[ Z = e^{-iE_GT}, \quad \text{for large real time } T \tag{8.174} \]
Hence,
\[ E_G = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{i}{T} \ln Z = -\frac{1}{T} S[\phi_c] + \frac{i}{2} \ln \det \left( -\partial^2 - V''[\phi_c] \right) + \ldots \tag{8.175} \]
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Notice that for fermions the sign of the term with the determinant would be the opposite.

In imaginary time (i.e. in the Euclidean domain) we have

\[ Z = e^{-\beta E_G} = e^{-\beta E_0} \left[ \det \left( -\nabla^2 + V''[\phi_c] \right) \right]^{-1/2} \times [1 + \ldots] \quad (8.176) \]

where \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian in \( D = d + 1 \) Euclidean space-time dimensions, and

\[ E_G = E_0 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \ln \det \left( -\nabla^2 + V''[\phi_c] \right) + \ldots \quad (8.177) \]

The correction terms can be computed using perturbation theory; we will do this later on. Let us note for now that the way will do this will require the we introduce a set of sources \( J \) which couple linearly to the field \( \phi \), in the form of an extra term to the action of the form \( \int d^D x J(x) \phi(x) \). Upon shifting the fields and expanding we get

\[ Z[J] = e^{iS[\phi_c]} + i \int d^D x J(x) \phi_c(x) \]

\[ \times \left[ \det \left( -\partial^2 - V''[\phi_c] \right) \right]^{-1/2} \frac{i}{2} \int_x \int_y J(x) G(x, y) J(y) \quad (8.178) \]

where \( G(x, y) \) is the Green function,

\[ G(x, y) = \langle x | \frac{1}{-\partial^2 - V''[\phi_c]} | y \rangle \quad (8.179) \]

8.6 Functional Determinants in Quantum Field Theory

We have seen before that the evaluation of the effects of quantum fluctuations involves the calculation of the determinant of a differential operator. In the case of non-relativistic single particle Quantum Mechanics we discussed in detail how to calculate a functional determinant of the form \( \det \left[ -\partial_t^2 + W(t) \right] \). However the method we used for that purpose becomes unmanageably cumbersome if applied to the calculation of determinants of partial differential operators of the form \( \det \left[ -D^2 + W(x) \right] \), where \( x \equiv x_\mu \) and \( D \) is some differential operator. Fortunately there are better and more efficient ways of doing such calculations.

Let \( \hat{A} \) be an operator, and \( \{f_n(x)\} \) be a complete set of eigenstates of \( \hat{A} \), with the eigenvalue spectrum \( S(A) = \{a_n\} \), such that

\[ \hat{A} f_n(x) = a_n f_n(x) \quad (8.180) \]

We will assume that \( \hat{A} \) has a discrete spectrum of real positive eigenvalues.
bounded from below. For the case of a continuous spectrum we will put the system in a finite box, which makes the spectrum discrete, and take limits at the end of the calculation.

The function \( \zeta(s) \),

\[
\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \text{for } \Re s > 1
\]

is the well known Riemann \( \zeta \)-function. We will now use the eigenvalue spectrum of the operator \( \hat{A} \) to define the generalized \( \zeta \)-function

\[
\zeta_A(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{a_n^s}
\]

where the sum runs over the labels (here denoted by \( n \)) of the spectrum of the operator \( \hat{A} \). We will assume that the sum (infinite series) is convergent which, in practice, will require that we introduce some sort of regularization at the high end (high energies) of the spectrum.

Upon differentiation we find

\[
\frac{d\zeta_A}{ds} = \sum_n \frac{d}{ds} e^{-s \ln a_n} = -\sum_n \frac{\ln a_n}{a_n^s}
\]

where we have assumed convergence. Then, in the limit \( s \to 0^+ \) we find that the following identity holds

\[
\lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{d\zeta_A}{ds} = -\sum_n \ln a_n = -\ln \prod_n a_n \equiv -\ln \text{Det} A
\]

Hence, we can formally relate the generalized \( \zeta \) function, \( \zeta_A \), to the functional determinant of the operator \( A \)

\[
\frac{d\zeta_A}{ds} \bigg|_{s \to 0^+} = -\ln \text{Det} A
\]

We have thus reduced the computation of a determinant to the computation of a function with specific properties.

Let us define now the generalized Heat Kernel

\[
G_A(x,y;\tau) = \sum_n e^{-a_n \tau} f_n(x) f_n^*(y) \equiv \langle x | e^{-\tau \hat{A}} | y \rangle
\]

where \( \tau > 0 \). The Heat Kernel \( G_A(x,y;\tau) \) clearly obeys the differential
equation

$$-\partial_\tau G_A(x, y; \tau) = \hat{A} G_A(x, y; \tau)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.187)

which can be regarded as a generalized Heat Equation. Indeed, for $\hat{A} = -D \nabla^2$, this is the regular Heat Equation (where $D$ is the diffusion constant) and, in this case $\tau$ represents time. In general we will refer to $\tau$ as proper time.

The Heat Kernel $G_A(x, y; \tau)$ satisfies the initial condition

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} G_A(x, y; \tau) = \sum_n f_n(x)f_n^*(y) = \delta(x - y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.188)

where we have used the completeness relation of the eigenfunctions $\{f_n(x)\}$. Hence, $G_A(x, y; \tau)$ is the solution of a generalized Heat Equation with kernel $\hat{A}$. It defines a generalized random walk or Markov process.

We will now show that $G_A(x, y; \tau)$ is related to the generalized $\zeta$ function $\zeta_A(s)$. Indeed, let us consider the Heat Kernel $G_A(x, y; \tau)$ at short distances, $y \to x$, and compute the integral (below we denote by $D$ is the dimensionality of space-time)

$$\int d^D x \lim_{y \to x} G_A(x, y; \tau) = \sum_n e^{-a_n \tau} \int d^D x f_n(x)f_n^*(x) = \sum_n e^{-a_n \tau} \equiv \text{tr} e^{-\tau \hat{A}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.189)

where we assumed that the eigenfunctions are normalized to unity

$$\int d^D x \left| f_n(x) \right|^2 = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.190)

i. e. normalized inside a box.

We will now use that, for $s > 0$ and $a_n > 0$ (or at least that it has a positive real part)

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{s-1} e^{-a_n \tau} = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{a_n^s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.191)

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Euler Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{s-1} e^{-\tau}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.192)

Then, we obtain the identity

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{s-1} \int d^D x \lim_{y \to x} G_A(x, y; \tau) = \sum_n \frac{\Gamma(s)}{a_n^s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.193)
Therefore, we find that the generalized $\zeta$-function, $\zeta_A(s)$, can be obtained from the generalized Heat Kernel $G_A(x,y;\tau)$:

$$\zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{s-1} \int d^D x \lim_{y \rightarrow x} G_A(x,y;\tau) \quad (8.194)$$

This result suggests the following strategy for the computation of determinants:

1. Given the hermitian operator $\hat{A}$, we solve the generalized Heat Equation

$$\hat{A} G_A = -\partial_\tau G_A \quad (8.195)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} G_A(x,y;\tau) = \delta^D(x-y) \quad (8.196)$$

2. Next we find the associated $\zeta$-function, $\zeta_A(s)$, using the expression

$$\zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{s-1} \int d^D x \lim_{y \rightarrow x} G_A(x,y;\tau) \left( \text{tr} e^{-\tau \hat{A}} \right) \quad (8.197)$$

3. We next take the limit $s \rightarrow 0^+$ to relate the $\zeta$-function to the determinant:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d\zeta_A(s)}{ds} = -\ln \det \hat{A} \quad (8.198)$$

In practice we will have to exercise some care in this step since we will find singularities as we take this limit. Most often we will keep the points $x$ and $y$ apart by a small but finite distance $a$, which we will eventually take to zero. Hence, we will need to understand in detail the short distance behavior of the Heat Kernel.

Furthermore, the propagator of the theory

$$S_A(x,y) = \langle x | \hat{A}^{-1} | y \rangle \quad (8.199)$$

can also be related to the Heat Kernel. Indeed, by expending Eq.(8.199) in the eigenstates of $\hat{A}$, we find

$$S(x,y) = \sum_n \frac{\langle x | n \rangle \langle n | y \rangle}{a_n} = \sum_n \frac{f_n(x)f_n^*(y)}{a_n} \quad (8.200)$$
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We can now write the following integral of the Heat Kernel as

\[
\int_0^\infty d\tau \ G_A(x, y; \tau) = \sum_n \ f_n(x) f_n^*(y) \int_0^\infty d\tau \ e^{-a_n \tau} = \sum_n \ f_n(x) f_n^*(y) \frac{1}{a_n} = S(x, y) \quad (8.201)
\]

Hence, the propagator \( S_A(x, y) \) can be expressed as an integral of the Heat Kernel

\[
S_A(x, y) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \ G_A(x, y; \tau) \quad (8.202)
\]

Equivalently, we can say that since \( G_A(x, y; \tau) \) satisfies the Heat Equation

\[
\hat{A} G_A = -\partial_\tau G_A \quad \text{then} \quad G_A(x, y; \tau) = \langle x \mid e^{-\tau \hat{A}} \mid y \rangle \quad (8.203)
\]

Thus,

\[
S_A(x, y) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \ \langle x \mid e^{-\tau \hat{A}} \mid y \rangle = \langle x \mid \hat{A}^{-1} \mid y \rangle \quad (8.204)
\]

and that it is indeed the Green function of \( \hat{A} \),

\[
\hat{A} S_A(x, y) = \delta(x - y) \quad (8.205)
\]

It is worth to note that the Heat Kernel \( G_A(x, y; \tau) \), as can be seen from Eq. (8.203), is also the Gibbs density matrix of the bounded Hermitian operator \( \hat{A} \). As such it has an imaginary time (\( \tau \)!) path-integral representation. Here, to actually insure convergence, we must also require that the spectrum of \( \hat{A} \) be positive. In that picture we view \( G_A(x, y; \tau) \) as the amplitude for the imaginary-time (proper time) evolution from the initial state \( \mid y \rangle \) to the final state \( \mid x \rangle \). In other words, we picture \( S_A(x, y) \) as the amplitude to go from \( y \) to \( x \) in an arbitrary time.

8.6.1 The determinant of the Euclidean Klein-Gordon Operator

As an example of the use of the Heat Kernel method we will use it to compute the determinant of the Euclidean Klein-Gordon operator. Thus, we will take the hermitian operator \( \hat{A} \) to be

\[
\hat{A} = -\nabla^2 + m^2 \quad (8.206)
\]

in \( D \) Euclidean space-time dimensions. This operator has a bounded positive spectrum. Here we will be interested in a system with infinite size \( L \rightarrow \infty \), and a large volume \( V = L^D \). We will follow the steps outlined above.
1. We begin by constructing the Heat Kernel $G(x, y; \tau)$. By definition it is the solution of the partial differential equation

$$(-\nabla^2 + m^2) G(x, y; \tau) = -\partial_\tau G(x, y; \tau) \quad (8.207)$$

satisfying the initial condition

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0^+} G(x, y; \tau) = \delta^D(x - y) \quad (8.208)$$

We will find $G(x, y; \tau)$ by Fourier transforms,

$$G(x, y; \tau) = \int \frac{d^DP}{(2\pi)^D} G(p; \tau) e^{ip \cdot (x - y)} \quad (8.209)$$

We find that in order for $G(x, y; \tau)$ to satisfy Eq.(8.207), its Fourier transform $G(p; \tau)$ must satisfy the differential equation

$$-\partial_\tau G(p; \tau) = (p^2 + m^2) G(p; \tau) \quad (8.210)$$

The solution of this equation, consistent with the initial condition of Eq.(8.208) is

$$G(p; \tau) = e^{-(p^2 + m^2) \tau} \quad (8.211)$$

We can now easily find $G(x, y; \tau)$ by simply finding the anti-transform of $G(p; \tau)$:

$$G(x, y; \tau) = \int \frac{d^DP}{(2\pi)^D} e^{-(p^2 + m^2) \tau + ip \cdot (x - y)}$$

$$\quad = \frac{e^{m^2 \tau + \frac{|x - y|^2}{4\tau}}}{(4\pi\tau)^{D/2}} \quad (8.212)$$

Notice that for $m \to 0$, $G(x, y; \tau)$ reduces to the usual diffusion kernel (with unit diffusion constant)

$$\lim_{m \to 0} G(x, y; \tau) = e^{-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4\tau}} \quad (4\pi\tau)^{D/2} \quad (8.213)$$

2. Next we construct the $\zeta$-function

$$\zeta_{-\nabla^2 + m^2}(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{s-1} \int d^Dx \lim_{y \to x} G(x, y; \tau) \quad (8.214)$$
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We first do the integral

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{s-1} \int d^D x \, G(x, y; \tau)$$

$$= \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{s-1-D/2} \, e^{-\left(m^2 \tau + \frac{R^2}{4\tau}\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.215)

where $R = |x - y|$. Upon scaling the variable $\tau = \lambda t$, with $\lambda = R/2m$, we find that

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{s-1} \, G(x, y; \tau) = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \left(\frac{R}{2m}\right)^{s-D/2} K_{\frac{D}{2}-s}(mR)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.216)

where $K_{\nu}(z)$,

$$K_{\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{\nu-1} e^{-\frac{z}{2} \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.217)

is a modified Bessel function. Its short argument behavior is

$$K_{\nu}(z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{2} \left(\frac{2}{z}\right)^{\nu} + \ldots$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.218)

As a check, we notice that for $s = 1$ the integral of Eq.(8.215) does reproduce the Euclidean Klein-Gordon propagator that we discussed earlier in these lectures.

3. The next step is to take the short distance limit

$$\lim_{R \to 0} \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{s-1} G(x, y; \tau) = \lim_{R \to 0} \frac{2^{1-s}}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{m^{D-2s}}{(mR)^{D-s}} K_{\frac{D}{2}-s}(mR)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(s - \frac{D}{2}\right)}{(4\pi)^{D/2} m^{2s-D}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.219)

Notice that we have exchanged the order of the limit and the integration. Also, after we took the short distance limit $R \to 0$, the expression above acquired a factor of $\Gamma\left(s - D/2\right)$, which is singular as $s - D/2$ approaches zero (or any negative integer). Thus, a small but finite $R$ smears this singularity.

4. Finally we find the $\zeta$-function by doing the (trivial) integration over space

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int d^D x \lim_{y \to x} G(x, y; \tau)$$

$$= V \mu^{-2s} \frac{m^D}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(s - \frac{D}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\frac{m}{\mu}\right)^{-2s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.220)
where \( \mu = 1/R \) plays the role of a cutoff mass (or momentum) scale that we will need to make some quantities dimensionless. The appearance of this quantity is also a consequence of the singularities.

We will now consider the specific case of \( D = 4 \) dimensions. For \( D = 4 \) the \( \zeta \)-function is

\[
\zeta(s) = V \frac{m^4}{16\pi^2} \frac{\mu^{-2s}}{(s-1)(s-2)} \left( \frac{m}{\mu} \right)^{-2s}
\]

(8.221)

We can now compute the desired (logarithm of the) determinant for \( D = 4 \) dimensions:

\[
\ln \text{Det} \left[ -\nabla^2 + m^2 \right] = -\lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{d\zeta}{ds} = \frac{m^4}{16\pi^2} \left[ \ln \frac{m}{\mu} - \frac{3}{4} \right] V
\]

(8.222)

where \( V = L^4 \). A similar calculation for \( D = 2 \) yields the result

\[
\ln \text{Det} \left[ -\nabla^2 + m^2 \right] = \frac{m^2}{2\pi} \left[ \ln \frac{m}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \right] V
\]

(8.223)

where \( V = L^2 \).

### 8.7 Path integral for spin

We will now discuss the use of path integral methods to describe a quantum mechanical spin. Consider a quantum mechanical system which consists of a spin in the spin-\( S \) representation of the group \( SU(2) \). The space of states of the spin-\( S \) representation is \( 2S + 1 \)-dimensional, and it is spanned by the basis \( \{ |S, M\rangle \} \) which are the eigenstates of the operators \( S^2 \) and \( S_3 \), i.e.

\[
S^2 \ |S, M\rangle = S(S + 1) \ |S, M\rangle
\]
\[
S_3 \ |S, M\rangle = M \ |S, M\rangle
\]

(8.224)

with \( |M| \leq S \) (in integer-spaced intervals). This set of states is complete and it forms a basis of this Hilbert space. The operators \( S_1, S_2 \) and \( S_3 \) obey the \( SU(2) \) algebra,

\[
[S_a, S_b] = i\epsilon_{abc} S_c
\]

(8.225)

where \( a, b, c = 1, 2, 3 \).

The simplest physical problem involving spin is the coupling to an external magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) through the Zeeman interaction

\[
H_{\text{Zeeman}} = \mu \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}
\]

(8.226)
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where $\mu$ is the Zeeman coupling constant (i.e. the product of the Bohr magneton and the gyromagnetic factor).

Let us denote by $|0\rangle$ the highest weight state $|S, S\rangle$. Let us define the spin raising and lowering operators $S^\pm$,

$$S^\pm = S_1 \pm i S_2$$

(8.227)

The highest weight state $|0\rangle$ is annihilated by $S^+$,

$$S^+ |0\rangle = S^+ |S, S\rangle = 0$$

(8.228)

Clearly, we also have

$$S^2 |0\rangle = S(S + 1) |0\rangle$$

$$S_3 |0\rangle = S |0\rangle$$

(8.229)
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Let us consider now the state $|n\rangle$,

$$|n\rangle = e^{i\theta (n_0 \times n \cdot S)} |0\rangle$$

(8.230)

where $n$ is a three-dimensional unit vector ($n^2 = 1$), $n_0$ is a unit vector pointing along the direction of the quantization axis (i.e. the “North Pole” of the unit sphere) and $\theta$ is the colatitude, (see Fig. 8.2)

$$n \cdot n_0 = \cos \theta$$

(8.231)

As we will see the state $|n\rangle$ is a coherent spin state which represents a
spin polarized along the $\mathbf{n}$ axis. The state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ can be expanded in the basis $|S, M\rangle$,

$$|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \sum_{M=-S}^{S} D_{MS}^{(S)}(\mathbf{n}) |S, M\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.232)

Here $D_{MS}^{(S)}(\mathbf{n})$ are the representation matrices in the spin-$S$ representation.

It is important to note that there are many rotations that lead to the same state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ from the highest weight $|0\rangle$. For example, any rotation along the direction $\mathbf{n}$ results only in a change in the phase of the state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$. These rotations are equivalent to a multiplication on the right by a rotation about the $z$ axis. However, in Quantum Mechanics this phase has no physically observable consequence. Hence we will regard all of these states as being physically equivalent. In other terms, the states for equivalence classes (or rays) and we must pick one and only one state from each class. These rotations are generated by $S_3$, the (only) diagonal generator of $SU(2)$. Hence, the physical states are not in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $SU(2)$ but instead with the elements of the right coset $SU(2)/U(1)$, with the $U(1)$ generated by $S_3$. (In the case of a more general group we must divide out the maximal torus generated by all the diagonal generators of the group.) In mathematical language, if we consider all the rotations at once, the spin coherent states are said to form a Hermitian line bundle.

A consequence of these observations is that the $D$ matrices do not form a group under matrix multiplication. Instead they satisfy

$$D^{(S)}(\mathbf{n}_1)D^{(S)}(\mathbf{n}_2) = D^{(S)}(\mathbf{n}_3)e^{i\Phi(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3)S_3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.233)

where the phase factor is usually called a cocycle. Here $\Phi(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3)$ is the (oriented) area of the spherical triangle with vertices at $\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3$. However, since the sphere is a closed surface, which area do we actually mean? “Inside” or “outsider”? Thus, the phase factor is ambiguous by an amount determined by $4\pi$, the total area of the sphere,

$$e^{i4\pi M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.234)

However, since $M$ is either an integer or a half-integer this ambiguity in $\Phi$ has no consequence whatsoever,

$$e^{i4\pi M} = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.235)

(we can also regard this result as a requirement that $M$ be quantized).

The states $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ are coherent states which satisfy the following properties
(see Perelomov’s book *Coherent States*). The overlap of two coherent states $|\vec{n}_1\rangle$ and $|\vec{n}_2\rangle$ is

$$
\langle n_1|n_2\rangle = \langle 0|D(S)(\vec{n}_1)\dagger D(S)(\vec{n}_2)|0\rangle
= \langle 0|D(S)(\vec{n}_0)e^{i\Phi(\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2, \vec{n}_0)}S|0\rangle
= \left(\frac{1 + \vec{n}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_2}{2}\right)^S e^{i\Phi(\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2, \vec{n}_0)}S
$$

The (diagonal) matrix element of the spin operator is

$$
\langle n|S|n\rangle = S \vec{n}
$$

Finally, the (over-complete) set of coherent states $\{|\vec{n}\rangle\}$ have a resolution of the identity of the form

$$
\hat{I} = \int d\mu(\vec{n}) |\vec{n}\rangle\langle \vec{n}|
$$

where the integration measure $d\mu(\vec{n})$ is

$$
d\mu(\vec{n}) = \left(\frac{2S + 1}{4\pi}\right)\delta(n^2 - 1)d^3n
$$

Let us now use the coherent states $\{|\vec{n}\rangle\}$ to find the path integral for a spin. In imaginary time $\tau$ (and with periodic boundary conditions) the path integral is simply the partition function

$$
Z = \text{tr} e^{-\beta H}
$$
where \( \beta = 1/T \) (\( T \) is the temperature) and \( H \) is the Hamiltonian. As usual the path integral form of the partition function is found by splitting up the imaginary time interval \( 0 \leq \tau \leq \beta \) in \( N \tau \) steps each of length \( \delta \tau \) such that \( N \tau \delta \tau = \beta \). Hence we have

\[
Z = \lim_{N \tau \to \infty, \delta \tau \to 0} \text{tr} \left( e^{-\delta \tau H} \right)^{N \tau} \quad (8.241)
\]

and insert the resolution of the identity at every intermediate time step,

\[
Z = \lim_{N \tau \to \infty, \delta \tau \to 0} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{N \tau} d\mu(n_j) \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{N \tau} \langle n(\tau_j) | e^{-\delta \tau H} | n(\tau_{j+1}) \rangle \right) \approx \lim_{N \tau \to \infty, \delta \tau \to 0} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{N \tau} d\mu(\vec{n}_j) \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{N \tau} \left[ \langle n(\tau_j) | \vec{n}(\tau_{j+1}) \rangle - \delta \tau \langle n(\tau_j) | H | n(\tau_{j+1}) \rangle \right] \right) \quad (8.242)
\]

However, since

\[
\langle n(\tau_j) | H | n(\tau_{j+1}) \rangle \approx \langle n(\tau_j) | H | n(\tau_{j+1}) \rangle = \mu S \cdot B \quad (8.243)
\]

and

\[
\langle n(\tau_j) | n(\tau_{j+1}) \rangle = \left( \frac{1 + n(\tau_j) \cdot n(\tau_{j+1})}{2} \right)^S e^{i \Phi(n(\tau_j), n(\tau_{j+1}), n_0)} S \quad (8.244)
\]

we can write the partition function in the form

\[
Z = \lim_{N \tau \to \infty, \delta \tau \to 0} \int Dn \ e^{-S_E[n]} \quad (8.245)
\]

where \( S_E[n] \) is given by

\[
-S_E[n] = i S \sum_{j=1}^{N \tau} \Phi(n(\tau_j), \vec{n}(\tau_{j+1}), n_0) + S \sum_{j=1}^{N \tau} \ln \left( \frac{1 + n(\tau_j) \cdot \vec{n}(\tau_{j+1})}{2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{N \tau} (\delta \tau) \mu S \ n(\tau_j) \cdot B \quad (8.246)
\]

The first term of the right hand side of Eq. (8.256) contains the expression \( \Phi(n(\tau_j), \vec{n}(\tau_{j+1}), n_0) \) which has a simple geometric interpretation: it is the sum of the areas of the \( N \tau \) contiguous spherical triangles. These triangles have the pole \( n_0 \) as a common vertex, and their other pairs of vertices trace a spherical polygon with vertices at \( \{n(\tau_j)\} \). In the time continuum limit
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this spherical polygon becomes the history of the spin, which traces a closed oriented curve \( \Gamma = \{ n(\tau) \} \) (with \( 0 \leq \tau \leq \beta \)). Let us denote by \( \Omega^+ \) the region of the sphere whose boundary is \( \Gamma \) and which contains the pole \( n_0 \). The complement of this region is \( \Omega^- \) and it contains the opposite pole \(-n_0\).

Hence we find that

\[
\lim_{N_\tau \to \infty, \delta \tau \to 0} \Phi(n(\tau_j), n(\tau_{j+1}), n_0) = A[\Omega^+] = 4\pi - A[\Omega^-]
\]  

(8.247)

where \( A[\Omega] \) is the area of the region \( \Omega \). Once again, the ambiguity of the area leads to the requirement that \( S \) should be an integer or a half-integer.

![Figure 8.3](image)

There is a simple and elegant way to write the area enclosed by \( \Gamma \). Let \( \vec{n}(\tau) \) be a history and \( \Gamma \) be the set of points on the 2-sphere traced by \( \vec{n}(\tau) \) for \( 0 \leq \tau \leq \beta \). Let us define \( n(\tau, s) \) (with \( 0 \leq s \leq 1 \)) to be an arbitrary extension of \( n(\tau) \) from the curve \( \Gamma \) to the interior of the upper cap \( \Omega^+ \), such that

\[
\begin{align*}
n(\tau, 0) &= n(\tau) \\
n(\tau, 1) &= n_0 \\
n(\tau, 0) &= n(\tau + \beta, 0)
\end{align*}
\]  

(8.248)

Then the area can be written in the compact form

\[
A[\Omega^+] = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^\beta d\tau \, n(\tau, s) \cdot \partial_\tau \vec{n}(\tau, s) \times \partial_s \vec{n}(\tau, s) \equiv S_{\text{WZ}}[n]
\]  

(8.249)
In Mathematics this expression for the area is called the (symplectic) 2-form, and in the Physics literature is usually called a Wess-Zumino action, $S_{\text{WZ}}$, or Berry Phase.

Thus, in the (formal) time continuum limit, the action $S_E$ becomes

$$S_E = -i S_{\text{WZ}}[\mathbf{n}] + \frac{S\delta \tau}{2} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau (\partial_\tau \mathbf{n}(\tau))^2 + \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \mu S \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\tau) \quad (8.250)$$

Notice that we have kept (temporarily) a term of order $\delta \tau$, which we will drop shortly.

How do we interpret Eq. (8.250)? Since $\mathbf{n}(\tau)$ is constrained to be a point on the surface of the unit sphere, i.e. $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$, the action $S_E[\mathbf{n}]$ can be interpreted as the action of a particle of mass $M = S\delta \tau \to 0$ and $\vec{n}(\tau)$ is the position vector of the particle at (imaginary) time $\tau$. Thus, the second term is a (vanishingly small) kinetic energy term, and the last term of Eq. (8.250) is a potential energy term.

What is the meaning of the first term? In Eq. (8.249) we saw that $S_{\text{WZ}}[\mathbf{n}]$, the the so-called Wess-Zumino or Berry phase term in the action, is the area of the (positively oriented) region $A[\Omega_+]$ “enclosed” by the “path” $\mathbf{n}(\tau)$. In fact,

$$S_{\text{WZ}}[\mathbf{n}] = \int_{0}^{1} ds \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_\tau \mathbf{n} \times \partial_s \mathbf{n} \quad (8.251)$$

is the area of the oriented surface $\Omega^+$ whose boundary is the oriented path $\Gamma = \partial \Omega^+$ (see Fig. 8.3). Using Stokes theorem we can write the the expression
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\[ S \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{n}] \text{ as the circulation of a vector field } \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}], \]

\[ \oint_{\partial \Omega} d\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}(\tau)] = \iint_{\Omega^+} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}(\tau)] \]  
(8.252)

provided the “magnetic field” \( \nabla \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{A} \) is “constant”, namely

\[ \mathbf{B} = \nabla \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}(\tau)] = S \mathbf{n}(\tau) \]

(8.253)

What is the total flux \( \Phi \) of this magnetic field?

\[ \Phi = \int_{\text{sphere}} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}] = S \int_{\text{sphere}} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n} \equiv 4\pi S \]

(8.254)

Thus, the total number of flux quanta \( N_{\phi} \) piercing the unit sphere is

\[ N_{\phi} = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} = 2S = \text{magnetic charge} \]

(8.255)

We reach the condition that the magnetic charge is quantized, a result known as the Dirac quantization condition.

Is this result consistent with what we know about charged particles in magnetic fields? In particular, how is this result related to the physics of spin? To answer these questions we will go back to real time and write the action

\[ S[\mathbf{n}] = \int_{0}^{T} dt \left[ \frac{M}{2} \left( \frac{d\mathbf{n}}{dt} \right)^{2} + \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}(t)] \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{n}}{dt} - \mu S \mathbf{n}(t) \cdot \mathbf{B} \right] \]

(8.256)

with the constraint \( \mathbf{n}^{2} = 1 \) and where the limit \( M \to 0 \) is implied.

The classical hamiltonian associated to the action of Eq. (8.256) is

\[ H = \frac{1}{2M} [\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{n}])]^{2} + \mu S \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} \equiv H_{0} + \mu S \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} \]

(8.257)

It is easy to check that the vector \( \mathbf{\Lambda} \),

\[ \mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A}) \]

(8.258)

satisfies the algebra

\[ [\mathbf{\Lambda}_{a}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{b}] = i\hbar \epsilon_{abc} (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{c} - \hbar S \mathbf{n}_{c}) \]

(8.259)

where \( a, b, c = 1, 2, 3 \), \( \epsilon_{abc} \) is the (third rank) Levi-Civita tensor, and with

\[ \mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda} = 0 \]

(8.260)
the generators of rotations for this system are

\[ L = \Lambda + \hbar S \mathbf{n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.261)

The operators \( L \) and \( \Lambda \) satisfy the (joint) algebra

\[
[L_a, L_b] = -i\hbar \epsilon_{abc} L_c \hspace{1cm} [L_a, L^2] = 0
\]

\[
[L_a, n_b] = i\hbar \epsilon_{abc} n_c \hspace{1cm} [L_a, \Lambda_b] = i\hbar \epsilon_{abc} \Lambda_c
\]

Hence

\[
[L_a, \Lambda^2] = 0 \Rightarrow [L_a, H] = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.263)

since the operators \( L_a \) satisfy the angular momentum algebra, we can diagonalize \( L^2 \) and \( L_3 \) simultaneously. Let \( |m, \ell\rangle \) be the simultaneous eigenstates of \( L^2 \) and \( L_3 \),

\[
L^2|m, \ell\rangle = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell + 1)|m, \ell\rangle
\]

\[
L_3|m, \ell\rangle = \hbar m|m, \ell\rangle
\]

\[
H_0|m, \ell\rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2MR^2} \left( \frac{\ell(\ell + 1) - S}{2S} \right)|m, \ell\rangle
\]

where \( R = 1 \) is the radius of the sphere. The eigenvalues \( \ell \) are of the form \( \ell = S + n, |m| \leq \ell \), with \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \) and \( 2S \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \). Hence each level is \( 2\ell + 1 \)-fold degenerate, or what is equivalent, \( 2n + 1 + 2S \)-fold degenerate. Then, we get

\[
\Lambda^2 = L^2 - \mathbf{n}^2 \hbar^2 S^2 = L^2 - \hbar^2 S^2
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.267)

Since \( M = S \delta t \to 0 \), the lowest energy in the spectrum of \( H_0 \) are those with the smallest value of \( \ell \), i.e. states with \( n = 0 \) and \( \ell = S \). The degeneracy of this “Landau” level is \( 2S + 1 \), and the gap to the next excited states diverges as \( M \to 0 \). Thus, in the \( M \to 0 \) limit, the lowest energy states have the same degeneracy as the spin-\( S \) representation. Moreover, the operators \( L^2 \) and \( L_3 \) become the corresponding spin operators. Thus, the equivalency found is indeed correct.

Thus, we have shown that the quantum states of a scalar (non-relativistic) particle bound to a magnetic monopole of magnetic charge \( 2S \), obeying the Dirac quantization condition, are identical to those of a spinning particle!

We close this section with some observations on the semi-classical motion. From the (real time) action (already in the \( M \to 0 \) limit)

\[
S = -\int_0^T dt \mu S \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} + S \int_0^T dt \int_1^1 ds \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{n} \times \partial_t \mathbf{n}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.268)
we can derive a Classical Equation of Motion by looking at the stationary configurations. The variation of the second term in Eq. (8.268) is

\[ \delta S = S \delta \int_0^T dt \int_0^1 ds \, n \cdot \partial_t n \times \partial_s n = S \int_0^T dt \delta n(t) \cdot n(t) \times \partial_t n(t) \] (8.269)

the variation of the first term in Eq. (8.268) is

\[ \delta \int_0^T dt \, \mu S n(t) \cdot B = \int_0^T dt \, \delta n(t) \cdot \mu S B \] (8.270)

Hence,

\[ \delta S = \int_0^T dt \, \delta n(t) \cdot (-\mu S B + S n(t) \times \partial_t n(t)) \] (8.271)

which implies that the classical trajectories must satisfy the equation of motion

\[ \mu B = n \times \partial_t n \] (8.272)

If we now use the vector identity

\[ n \times n \times \partial_t n = (n \cdot \partial_t n) n - n^2 \partial_t n \] (8.273)

and

\[ n \cdot \partial_t n = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad n^2 = 1 \] (8.274)

we get the classical equation of motion

\[ \partial_t n = \mu B \times n \] (8.275)

Therefore, the classical motion is *precessional* with an angular velocity \( \Omega_{pr} = \mu B \).
We will now turn to the problem of the quantization of gauge theories. We will begin with the simplest gauge theory, the free electromagnetic field. This is an abelian gauge theory. After that we will discuss at length the quantization of non-abelian gauge fields. Unlike abelian theories, such as the free electromagnetic field, even in the absence of matter fields non-abelian gauge theories are not free fields and have highly non-trivial dynamics.

9.1 Canonical Quantization of the Free Electromagnetic Field

Maxwell’s theory was the first field theory to be quantized. However, the quantization procedure involves a number of subtleties not shared by the other problems that we have considered so far. The issue is the fact that this theory has a local gauge invariance. Unlike systems which only have global symmetries, not all the classical configurations of vector potentials represent physically distinct states. It could be argued that one should abandon the picture based on the vector potential and go back to a picture based on electric and magnetic fields instead. However, there is no local Lagrangian that can describe the time evolution of the system now. Furthermore is not clear which fields, $E$ or $B$ (or some other field) plays the role of coordinates and which can play the role of momenta. For that reason, one sticks to the Lagrangian formulation with the vector potential $A_\mu$ as its independent coordinate-like variable.

The Lagrangian for Maxwell’s theory

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$ (9.1)